CILCO Surrebuttal Exhibit 7.18 Docket No. 02-0837 Witness: J. A. Lesser

Page: 1 of 3



UTILITY REGULATORY POLICY IN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA

COMPILATION 1995-1996

OF THE

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

Michael Foley Acting Executive Director

Jessica O'Connor-Petts Research Analyst

Docket No. 02-0837

Witness: J. A. Lesser

Page: 2 of 3

603

TABLE 291 - AGENCY AUTHORITY OVER RATE OF RETURN - GAS UTILITIES

_		Ia . ia . i	Method Agency favors in determining rate of return								
	Agency	Capital	Method	Agency	tavors	in dete	rmining	rate of	retur	ņ	Duration of
	deter-	structure		**	**	**	**	**	**		call protect
	mines	is adjusted		1	1	ı	1	}	1	l	tion provision
	rate of	to exclude	NO ONE	Dis-	Comp-	1	1	1		1	influences
							1	0:		1	
	return	non-utility		count-	arable	Earn-	Mid-	Capital		1	judgment in
AGENCY	under its	financing	ALL are	ed	earn-	ings/	point	asset	Risk	1	determining
	general	when it is.	consid-	cash	ings	price	app-	pricing	Drem-	1	rate of
		traceable	ered	flow			roach	model	1 .	0-1-	
					test	ratio	roach	modet	ium	Other	return
FERC	X	X	X	X							<u> </u>
ALABAMA PSC 12/	X	X	1	X	1						1
ALASKA PUC	X	×	l	1	X	1	1			I	Possible.
ARIZONA CC	x	x	X 2/	X 7/	-		1			1	1. 000.000
		^			1	1		l	1	l	}
ARKANSAS PSC	X		X	X 11/		- -'≥'	I		1	1	1
CALIFORNIA PUC	X	X 1/	X 2/		X	L		X	X	X	Possible.
COLORADO PUC	X	X		X 9/	X						
CONNECTICUT DPUC	X	X		X		1					i
		^	V 2/				l				1
DELAWARE PSC	X		X 2/	X	X		ı			X	i
D.C. PSC	X	X		X			l	1		l	1
FLORIDA PSC	X	X 1/	X 2/					1		1	1
GEORGIA PSC	X	X	X 2/	X					X	X 8/	
HAWAII PUC				_ ^			1				1
	X	X	X 2/				1			X	1
IDAHO PUC	X	X		X 9/	X	X					1
ILLINOIS CC	X	X	X 2/	1	1		X			X	ł .
INDIANA URC	x		X Y	i '							İ
				<u> </u>						V ()	
IOWA UB	X	X 1/	X	X					X	X 6/	1
KANSAS SCC	X	X	(')	X							l
KENTUCKY PSC	X c	X	X 2/	X	X	X	X			X	1
LOUISIANA PSC	x	^	^ -/	x	^	^	^			^	i
											ĺ
MAINE PUC	X	10/	x 9/	X							
MARYLAND PSC	X	X		X						X 6/	1
MASSACHUSETTS DPU	X	X	1 1	X 5/						X 5/	i
MICHIGAN PSC	X	x ,	2/	x '	x		X	X	x	X '	1
			-/		^		^	^	^	^	1
MINNESOTA PUC	X	X		X							1
MISSISSIPPI PSC	X	X		X	X						<u> </u>
MISSOURI PSC 13/	X	X		Х							
MONTANA PSC	x	x l	, I	x	x			1			ĺ
	^	^	. 1	^	^			1	- 1		l
NEBRASKA PSC 4/			. 1								İ
NEVADA PSC	X	x		X	X	X		1	-		I
NEW HAMPSHIRE PUC	X	x I		X						1	Yes
			X I					X	X	X	
						- 1		^	^		
NEW MEXICO PUC			X 2/	X		- 1	1	1	1	X	
NEW YORK PSC			X	X 7/	I	- 1	ı		1	x	l I
NORTH CAROLINA UC			X 2/	X	x	- 1		x	x	X	ı
			^ -/		^		1	^	^	^ 1	
NORTH DAKOTA PSC	ļ	1		X	!	!					
OHIO PUC		1	X	x 7/	1	1	1	1	· }	X 7/	
OKLAHOMA CC		l		X	X	1	1	x	X		
OREGON PUC		I	- 1	x	1	1	1	x		1	
		1	ابجات			J	ا ن	^			ua. da . 14
PENNSYLVANIA PUC	1		X 2/	X	X	X	X	- 1			Maybe, if soon
RHODE ISLAND PUC		X	X	X I	X			1		1	
OUTH CAROLINA PSC	X	X	X	X				X	X	Ī	
OUTH DAKOTA PUC	x l	x l		x	x	1	1			ı	
			ابور	^	^	1	1	1	U I	1	
EXAS RC	X	×	x 2/		i	1	- 1	- 1	X		
JTAH PSC	X	Х		X	!						
ERMONT PSB	X	x		X	X	1				X	
IRGINIA SCC	×	x	x 2/			1	- 1	1			
	Ç	î l		, I	1	!	1	. 1	- 1	t t	
ASHINGTON UTC	•			X		1		1	1	1	
EST VIRGINIA PSC	X	x	x 2/	X	X	1	- 1	X	X	X	
IISCONSIN PSC	X	X I	x 2/	x I	1	1	- 1	X	- 1	X	
	x		x 2/	x	x	I		x	x l	χĺ	
			^ 6/					^	^		
		X (- 1	1	X		1	1	1	- 1	
UERTO RICO PSC 12/	X										
PUERTO RICO PSC 12/	X X	10/	X 2/	X	X I	ı	1	1	1	X I	
PUERTO RICO PSC 12/ VIRGIN ISLANDS PSC	X .	10/							¥		
UERTO RICO PSC 12/ /IRGIN ISLANDS PSC IATL ENERGY BOARD	X X	10/	x 14/:	X	X			x	X	X	
PUERTO RICO PSC 12/ /IRGIN ISLANDS PSC HATL ENERGY BOARD LEBERTA EUB	<u> </u>	10/ X X	x 14/: x 2/		X			X	X	X	
PYOMING PSC PUERTO RICO PSC 12/ PIRGIN ISLANDS PSC PATE ENERGY BOARD PATE ENERGY BOA	X X	10/	x 14/: x 2/ x 2/	X	X			X	х	X	
PUERTO RICO PSC 12/ /IRGIN ISLANDS PSC HATL ENERGY BOARD LEBERTA EUB	<u> </u>	10/ X X	x 14/: x 2/	X	X			X	х	X	

^{**} For definitions of terms, please consult the Glossary of Terms at the back of this book. ICB=Case-by-Case Basis

CILCO Surrebuttal Exhibit 7.18 Docket No. 02-0837 Witness: J. A. Lesser Page: 3 of 3

604

FOOTNOTES - TABLE 291 AGENCY AUTHORITY OVER RATE OF RETURN - GAS UTILITIES

Non-utility investment dollars are always excluded from rate base. Where non-utility investment is comparatively small, capital ratios are not adjusted. When non-utility investment is large, we usually remove non-utility investment from equity.

- 2/ Commission favors no single method, but rather that which produces the most reasonable results.
- 3/ It may use any method it desires especially in the case of a small company.
- 4/ No Commission regulation of electric or gas utilities.
- DCF is preferred, but Department approves other methods which check DCF result; risk spread analysis preferred by a slight margin. Financial condition of utility also given serious consideration.
- 6/ DCF is preferred; other methods are considered.
- 7/ No single method, however, discounted cash flow is frequently used.
- 8/ Discounted cash flow most often used, but risk premium method used also. Determined case by case.
- 9/ DCF has been the preferred method, but its results should be checked with other methods.
- 10/ Never an issue before this agency.
- 11/ Agency favors DCF, but any method presented is considered.
- 12/ Commission did not respond to request for update information; this data may not be current.
- DCF has been the preferred method, but its results are generally checked with other methods such as risk premium and CAPM.
- Commission favors no single method, but rather that which produces tolls that are just and reasonable.